

Copyright ©2010 by Murray Eisenberg. All rights reserved.

For use only in connection with Math 421, Fall 2010, at University of Massachusetts Amherst.

- [20 pt] This part of Homework 1 consists of the five problems from *WeBWorK* set 421HW1.
- (a) [Page 6 # 5 (b)] [10 pt] From the del Ferro-Tartaglia formula, one solution of this depressed cubic is:

$$x = \sqrt[3]{65 + 142i} + \sqrt[3]{65 - 142i} \quad [2 \text{ pt}]$$

Following Bombelli's method, assume this is real and that, in fact, there are real u, v with

$$\sqrt[3]{65 + 142i} = u + iv, \sqrt[3]{65 - 142i} = u - iv.$$

Then $(u + iv)^3 = 65 + 142i$. Cubing and simplifying gives:

$$(u^3 - 3uv^2) + (3u^2v - v^3)i = 65 + 142i \quad [2 \text{ pt}]$$

Equating real and imaginary parts gives:

$$\begin{cases} u^3 - 3uv^2 = 65 \\ 3u^2v - v^3 = 142 \end{cases} \quad [1 \text{ pt}]$$

Factor the left sides to obtain:

$$\begin{cases} u(u^2 - 3v^2) = 65 \\ v(3u^2 - v^2) = 142 \end{cases} \quad [1 \text{ pt}] \quad (*)$$

Now seek solutions u, v of this system that are **positive integers**. Since 65 has prime factorization $65 = 5 \cdot 13$, two possible solutions of the first equation are

$$(u = 5 \text{ and } u^2 - 3v^2 = 13) \text{ or } (u = 13 \text{ and } u^2 - 3v^2 = 5)$$

Now $u = 5$ and $u^2 - 3v^2 = 13$ means

$$u = 5 \text{ and } v = 2. \quad [1 \text{ pt}] \quad (*)$$

And those values of u, v also satisfy the second equation in (*). [You could, instead, run through the other possibility and see where it leads, or start with the second equation in (*).]

Thus one solution to the original cubic is

$$x = (u + iv) + (u - iv) = 2u = 2(5) = 10. \quad [1 \text{ pt}]$$

You may now directly check that $x = 10$ does indeed satisfy the equation $x^3 - 87x - 130 = 0$.

Divide $x^3 - 87x - 130$ by $x - 10$ (by hand or by using *Mathematica's* `PolynomialQuotient` to obtain

$$x^3 - 87x - 130 = (x - 10)(x^2 + 10x + 13).$$

From the quadratic formula, the zeros of the quadratic factor are $x = -5 \pm 2\sqrt{3}$. Thus the zeros of the original cubic are:

$$\boxed{x = 10, \quad -5 + 2\sqrt{3}, \quad -5 - 2\sqrt{3}} \quad [2 \text{ pt}]$$

- (b) [Page 6 #6 (a)] [10 pt] The given cubic $z^3 - 6z^2 - 3z + 18$ has the form $z^3 + a_2z^2 + a_1z + a_0$ with $a_2 = -6$. Then the Cardan substitution to be used is

$$z = x - a_2/3 = x - (-6)/3 = x + 2. \quad [1 \text{ pt}]$$

In terms of the new variable x , the original cubic becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (x + 2)^3 - 6(x + 2)^2 - 3(x + 2) + 18 &= (x^3 + 6x^2 + 12x + 8) - 6(x^2 + 4x + 4) - 3(x + 2) + 18 \\ &= x^3 - 15x - 4. \quad [3 \text{ pt}] \end{aligned}$$

This is the very same depressed cubic analyzed in the text; as shown there, the delFerro-Tartaglia formula gives as one solution

$$x = 4. \quad [2 \text{ pt}]$$

Long division gives

$$x^3 - 15x - 4 = (x - 4)(x^2 + 4x + 1).$$

By the quadratic formula the solutions of $x^2 + 4x + 1$ are $x = -2 \pm \sqrt{3}$. Thus the three solutions of the depressed cubic are

$$x = 4, \quad -2 + \sqrt{3}, \quad -2 - \sqrt{3}. \quad [2 \text{ pt}]$$

To find the solutions of the original cubic (with variable z) from the solutions of the depressed cubic (with variable x) use the relation $z = x + 2$ to obtain

$$\boxed{z = 6, \quad \sqrt{3}, \quad -\sqrt{3}.} \quad [2 \text{ pt}]$$

3. [Verify distributive law from operations definitions in terms of ordered pairs] [20 pt] Let $z = (a, b)$, $w = (u, v)$, $\zeta = (s, t)$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} z(w + \zeta) &= (a, b)(u + s, v + t) \\ &= (a(u + s) - b(v + t), a(v + t) + b(u + s)) \\ &= (au + as - bv - bt, av + at + bu + bs) \quad [10 \text{ pt}] \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} zw + z\zeta &= (au - bv, av + bu) + (as - bt, at + bs) \\ &= (au - bv + as - bt, av + bu + at + bs), \quad [10 \text{ pt}] \end{aligned}$$

which is the same ordered pair as the value of $z(w + \zeta)$.

4. [Page 15 #6] Write $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$, $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$ with x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 real.

- (a) [10 pt] This is **true** because:

$$\operatorname{Re}(z_1 + z_2) = \operatorname{Re}((x_1 + x_2) + i(y_1 + y_2)) = x_1 + x_2 = \operatorname{Re} z_1 + \operatorname{Re} z_2$$

(d) [10 pt] This is **not** true in general because, for example, it fails for $z_1 = i = z_2$. Indeed,

$$\operatorname{Im}(i \cdot i) = \operatorname{Im}(-1) = 0$$

whereas

$$\operatorname{Im}(i) \operatorname{Im}(i) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1.$$

Optional: In general,

$$\operatorname{Im}(z_1 z_2) = \operatorname{Im}(x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2 + i(x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1)) = x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1$$

whereas $(\operatorname{Im} z_1)(\operatorname{Im} z_2) = y_1 y_2$. So the equality $\operatorname{Im}(z_1 z_2) = (\operatorname{Im} z_1)(\operatorname{Im} z_2)$ will fail whenever $x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 \neq y_1 y_2$. (Only [7 pt] if that's all you do.) It remains to find (at least) *one* particular example in which $x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 \neq y_1 y_2$. The simplest is perhaps $z_1 = i = z_2$, which was used above.

5. [Page 19 identity (1-26)] [20 pt]

Method 1: Use basic properties. Namely, use: the definition $z_1/z_2 = z_1 z_2^{-1}$ together with the identities $z^{-1} = (1/|z|^2)\bar{z}$, $|zw| = |z||w|$, and $|cw| = c|w|$ for a real $c > 0$. Then:

$$\left| \frac{z_1}{z_2} \right| = \left| z_1 \left(\frac{1}{|z_2|^2} \bar{z}_2 \right) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{|z_2|^2} (z_1 \bar{z}_2) \right| = \frac{1}{|z_2|^2} |z_1 \bar{z}_2| = \frac{1}{|z_2|^2} |z_1| |\bar{z}_2| = \frac{1}{|z_2|^2} |z_1| |z_2| = \frac{|z_1|}{|z_2|}$$

Method 2: Use polar form. (Only [18 pt] unless you include the “justification” mentioned below.)

$$\left| \frac{z_1}{z_2} \right| = \left| \frac{r_1 e^{i\theta_1}}{r_2 e^{i\theta_2}} \right| = \frac{r_1}{r_2} |e^{i\theta_1} e^{-i\theta_2}| = \frac{r_1}{r_2} |e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)}| = \frac{r_1}{r_2} \cdot 1 = \frac{|z_1|}{|z_2|}$$

Of course this requires justification, namely, that $e^{i\theta_1}/e^{i\theta_2} = e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)}$. But that's easy:

$$e^{i\theta_2} e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_2)} = e^{i(\theta_2 + (\theta_1 - \theta_2))} = e^{i\theta_1}$$

Method 3: Use Cartesian coordinates and to hack out everything algebraically from scratch starting with $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$, $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$. This way is most unpleasant and does not reasonably exploit properties already established. (Only [12 pt] for this way.)